Abstract—Switched systems have numerous applications in control of real systems as mechanical systems, automotive industry, aircraft and air traffic control, switching power converters, and many other fields. Optimal control problems of switching systems require the decision of both the optimal solutions and switching sequences. The stochastic optimal control problem of linear switching systems with quadratic cost function is investigated. The contribution of this paper is to present a necessary and sufficient condition of optimality for considered switching systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The optimal control problem for linear systems was solved, as well as the filtering one, in 1960s by Kalman [1], but there exist a lot of invariant and non-invariant linear systems with still open optimal control problem. There has been an enormously rich theory on LQ control, deterministic and stochastic alike (see [2]-[9]). For the deterministic Riccati equation was essentially solved by Wonham [10] by applying Bellman’s principle of quasilinearization (see [11]). Bismut [12] performed a detailed analysis for stochastic LQ control with random coefficients. But associated Riccati equation in this case is a highly nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations. The existence and uniqueness of solution for such class of equations was investigated in [12]. Switching systems consist of several subsystems and a switching law indicating the active subsystem at each time instantly. For general theory of stochastic switching systems it is referred to [13]. Theoretical results and applications of stochastic switching systems were developed in [14]-[18]. Deterministic and stochastic optimal control problems of switching systems are actual at present [19]-[22].

This article is concerned with optimal control problem of stochastic linear switching systems with quadratic cost functional.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. The next section formulates the main problem, presents some concepts and assumptions. The necessary and sufficient condition of optimality for stochastic linear switching systems is obtained in section III. In section IV, the unique optimal control is derived in terms of state feedback via the solution of Riccati equation. The paper is concluded in section V with some possible developments and enlargements.

II. NOTATIONS AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this section we fix notation and definition used throughout this paper. Let N be some positive constant, $R^n$ denotes the n-dimensional real vector space, $|·|$ denotes the Euclidean norm and $⟨·,·⟩$ denotes scalar product in $R^n$. $E$ represents expectation; the set of integer numbers $I_s$ is denoted by $I_s$; ’ (the prime) denotes derivative; $*$ is the matrix transposition operation.

Let $w_i$, $w'_i$ be independent Wiener processes, which generate filtrations $F^i_t = σ(w_i^t, t ≤ t_i)$, $i ∈ I_s$; $(Ω, F^i, P)$, $l = 1, s$ be a probability spaces with corresponding filtrations $F_x^i$, $F^i_x = [I_t: t ∈ I_t]$ denotes the space of all predictable processes $x(t, ω) = x(t)$ such that: $E\int_i^b x(u)$ denotes the space of all linear transformations from $R^n$ to $R^n$. Let $O_t ⊂ R^n, Q_t ⊂ R^n$ be open sets; $T = [0, T]$ be a finite interval and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_s = T$. Following notation is used unless specified otherwise: $t = (t_0, t_1, ..., t_s)$, $u = (u^1, u^2, ..., u^s)$, $x = (x^1, x^2, ..., x^s)$.

Consider following linear controlled system with variable structure:

$$dx^i_t = (A^i_t x^i_t + B^i_t u^i_t)dt + (C^i_t x^i_t + D^i_t u^i_t)dw^i_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$x^i_{t_i} = Φ^i_{t_i} x^i_{t_i} + K^i_{t_i} , \hspace{0.5cm} i = 1, s$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$u^i_t ∈ U^i_0 = \{ u^i(·) ∈ L^2_{F^i_x}[I_{t_i}; R^n]| u^i(t) ∈ U^i ⊂ R^n \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
where $U^i, l = \overline{1, r}$ are non-empty bounded sets. The elements of $U^i_0$ are called the admissible controls. The problem is concluded to find the optimal solution $(x^i, x^{21} \ldots x^s, u^i, u^{21} \ldots u^s)$ and the switching sequence $t_{1i}, t_{2i}, \ldots, t_{si}$ which minimize the cost functional:

$$J(u) = E\left(\langle Gx_t^i, x^i_t \rangle + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(\langle M_j^i x_t^i, x_t^i \rangle + \langle N_l^i u_t^i, u_t^i \rangle\right)dt\right)$$

(4)

Elements of matrices $A^i, B^i, C^i, M^i, N^i, \Phi^i$ and vectors $K^i, l = \overline{1, s}$ are continuous, bounded functions. $G, N^i, l = \overline{1, s}$ are positively semi-defined matrices, and $N^i, l = \overline{1, s}$ are positively defined matrices.

Let $U = U^1 \times U^2 \times \ldots \times U^r$, and consider the sets $\mathcal{A}_i = T^{i-1} \times \prod O_j \times \prod U^j$ with the elements $\pi^i = (t_{1i}, \ldots, t_{li}, x^i_t, \ldots, x^i_t, u^i_t, \ldots, u^i_t)$.

**Definition 1.** The set of functions $\{x^i(t, \pi^i) \}$ $t \in [t_{1i}, t_{li}], l = \overline{1, s}$ is said to be a solution of the equation (1) with variable structure which correspond to an element $\pi^i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, if the function $x^i(t) \in O_j$ satisfies the conditions (2), while on the interval $[t_{1i}, t_{li}]$ it is absolutely continuous a.e. (almost certainly) and satisfies the equation (1) almost everywhere.

**Definition 2.** The element $\pi^i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ is said to be admissible if the pairs $(x^i, u^i), t \in [t_{1i}, t_{li}], l = \overline{1, s}$ are the solutions of system (1)-(3).

$\mathcal{A}_i$ indicates the set of admissible elements.

**Definition 3.** The element $\tilde{\pi}^i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, is said to be an optimal solution of problem (1)-(4) if there exist admissible controls $\tilde{u}^i, t \in [t_{1i}, t_{li}], l = \overline{1, s}$ and corresponding solutions of system (1)-(2) such that pairs $(\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{u}^i), l = \overline{1, s}$ minimize the functional (4).

**III. OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM**

Necessary and sufficient conditions satisfied by an optimal solution, play an important role for analysis of control problems. In this section optimality condition for stochastic control problem of linear switching systems is obtained.

**Theorem.** The necessary and sufficient conditions for an element $\pi^i = (x^i_t, x^{21}_t, \ldots x^s_t, u^i_t, \ldots, u^s_t)$ to be an optimal solution of problem (1)-(4) and only if:

a) there exist random processes $(\psi^i_t, \beta^i_t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_{1i}, t_{li}; \mathcal{R}^n) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_{1i}, t_{li}; \mathcal{R}^m)$ which are the solutions of the following adjoint equations:

$$d\psi^i_t = \left[A^i_1 \psi^i_t + C^i_1 \beta^i_t - M^i x^i_t\right]dt + \beta^i_t dw_t,$$

$$t \in [t_{1i}, t_{li}], l = \overline{1, s}$$

$$\psi^i_{t_{li}} = \psi^i_{t_{li}} + \Phi^i u^i_{t_{li}}, l = \overline{1, s},$$

$$\psi^i_{t_{1i}} = -G \psi^i_{t_{1i}};$$

b) the candidate optimal controls $u^i_{t_{li}}$ are defined by:

$$N^i u^i_{t_{li}} = B^i \psi^i_{t_{li}} + D^i \beta^i_{t_{li}}, t \in [t_{1i}, t_{li}], l = \overline{1, s}$$

(6)

c) the transversality conditions:

$$\psi^i_{t_{li}}(\Phi^i_{u_{t_{li}}} + K^i_0) = 0, l = \overline{1, s} - 1$$

(7)

hold.

**Proof.** Let $u^i_{t_{li}}$ and $\tilde{u}^i_{t_{li}}$ be some admissible controls; call the vectors $\Delta \tilde{u}^i_{t_{li}} = \tilde{u}^i_{t_{li}} - u^i_{t_{li}}$ be an admissible increments of the controls $u^i_{t_{li}}$. By (1)-(2), the trajectories $x^i_{t_{li}}, \tilde{x}^i_{t_{li}}, l = \overline{1, s}$ correspond to the controls $u^i_{t_{li}}, \tilde{u}^i_{t_{li}}$.

Consider two sequence laws $t = (0, t_{1i}, t_{2i}, \ldots, t_{si}, T)$ and $\tilde{t} = (0, \tilde{t}_{1i}, \tilde{t}_{2i}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{si}, T)$. Increment of cost functional (4) along admissible control $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_{t_{1i}}, \tilde{u}^2_{t_{2i}}, \ldots, \tilde{u}^s_{t_{si}})$ looks like:

$$\langle J'(u), \tilde{u} - u \rangle = E\left(\langle Gx_t^i, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\langle M_j^i x_t^i, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle + \langle N_l^i u_t^i, \tilde{u}_t^i - u^i_t \rangle\right)dt\right)$$

(8)

Taking into consideration (1)-(2) we obtain:

$$d\langle \psi^i_t, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle = \left[A^i_1 \langle \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle + B^i \langle \tilde{u}^i_t - u^i_t \rangle\right]dt + \langle C^i_1 \langle \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle + D^i \langle \tilde{u}^i_t - u^i_t \rangle\rangle dw_t$$

(9)

According to Ito’s formula for each $t \in [t_{1i}, t_{li}], l = \overline{1, s}$:

$$d\langle \psi^i_t, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_t} = \langle d\psi^i_t, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_t} + \langle \psi^i_t, d\tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_t} + \langle \beta^i_t, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_t} \rangle + \langle \beta^i_t, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_t} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_t}$$

Integrating this equality and taking expectation of both side into account (9) as follows:

$$E\langle \psi^i_{t_{li}}, \tilde{x}^i_{t_{li}} - x^i_{t_{li}} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{li}}} = E\langle \psi^i_{t_{1i}}, \tilde{x}^i_{t_{1i}} - x^i_{t_{1i}} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{1i}}}$$

$$E \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle d\psi^i_{t_{li}}, A^i_1 \psi^i_{t_{li}} + C^i_1 \beta^i_{t_{li}}, \tilde{x}^i_{t_{li}} - x^i_{t_{li}} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{li}}} +$$

$$E \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle B^i \psi^i_{t_{li}} + D^i \beta^i_{t_{li}}, \tilde{u}^i_{t_{li}} - u^i_{t_{li}} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{li}}}$$

Due to this equality the expression (8) can be rewritten as:

$$\langle J'(u), \tilde{u} - u \rangle = E(\langle Gx_t^i, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \psi^i_{t_{li}}, \tilde{x}^i_{t_{li}} - x^i_{t_{li}} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{li}}} +$$

$$E \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle (M_j^i x_t^i, \tilde{x}^i_t - x^i_t)_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{li}}} + \langle N_l^i u_t^i, \tilde{u}^i_t - u^i_t \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{t_{li}}} \rangle dt$$


The stochastic processes \( \psi^l_t \), at the points \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s \) can be defined as follows:

\[
\psi^l_t = \psi^{l+1} \Phi_t^l, \quad l = \overline{1, s-1} \text{ and } \psi^s_t = -Gx^l_t
\]

Further using equation (5) we get:

\[
\langle J'(u), \bar{u} - u \rangle = E \sum_{l=1}^{s} \int \left\{ \langle N^l u^l_t - B^l_t \psi^l_t - D^l_t \beta_t^l, (\tilde{x}^l_t - u^l_t) \rangle \Delta t_t \right\} dt
\]

(11)

It is well known that the necessary and sufficient condition of optimality for convex functional given by as: \( J'(u) = 0 \). The validity of (6)-(8), follows from the relation (11). Finally, according to the independence of increments \( \Delta \tilde{x}^l_t, \Delta \tilde{t}_l, \Delta \tilde{t}_l \), sufficiency is proceed from expression (10).

IV. RICCATI EQUATIONS

This section is devoted to the the Riccati equation for the possible feedback regulator design of stochastic LQ problem of switching systems.

\[
d\psi^l_t = -p^l_t x^l_t, \quad l = \overline{1, s}, \text{ a.c.} \quad (12)
\]

At the end we receive the differential equations, which are a stochastic analogue of the Riccati equations, for determination functions \( p^l_t, l = \overline{1, s} \).

We will search for \( p^l_t, l = \overline{1, s} \) in the following form:

\[
dp^l_t = \alpha^l_t dt + \gamma^l_t dw^l_t, \quad l = \overline{1, s}
\]

According to formula Ito have:

\[
d\psi^l_t = -\left[ dp^l_t x^l_t + p^l_t dx^l_t + \gamma^l_t (C^l_t x^l_t + D^l_t u^l_t) dt \right].
\]

Using (1) and (5) have:

\[
- \left[ A^l_t \psi^l_t - C^l_t \beta^l_t + M^l_t x^l_t \right] dt + \beta^l_t dw^l_t =
- \left[ \xi^l_t x^l_t dt + \gamma^l_t x^l_t dw^l_t + p^l_t A^l_t x^l_t dt + p^l_t B^l_t u^l_t dt + \right]
\]

\[
+ p^l_t (C^l_t x^l_t + D^l_t u^l_t) dw^l_t + \gamma^l_t (C^l_t x^l_t + D^l_t u^l_t) dt \]

(13)

For \( \beta^l_t, l = \overline{1, s} \) we are having next form:

\[
\beta^l_t = -\left[ \gamma^l_t x^l_t + p^l_t C^l_t x^l_t + p^l_t D^l_t u^l_t \right]. \quad t \in \left[ t_{l-1}, t_l \right]
\]

(14)

By means of simple transformations into account (14) expression (13) can be rewritten as follows:

\[
[\xi^l_t + p^l_t A^l_t + A^l_t \psi^l_t + \gamma^l_t (C^l_t + \gamma^l_t) + C^l_t p^l_t C^l_t + M^l_t] x^l_t dt
+ p^l_t B^l_t u^l_t dt + \gamma^l_t D^l_t u^l_t dt + C^l_t p^l_t D^l_t u^l_t dt = 0
\]

(15)

Considering (12) in expression (6) optimal control can be defined explicitly for each \( l = 1, s \) and \( t \in \left[ t_{l-1}, t_l \right] \):

\[
u^l_t = -\left[ N^l_t + D^l_t \psi^l_t (\tilde{x}^l_t - u^l_t) \right] \left[ B^l_t p^l_t + D^l_t \gamma^l_t + D^l_t p^l_t C^l_t \right] x^l_t
\]

here random processes \( \left( \tilde{x}^l_t, \gamma^l_t \right) \) are the solutions of following Riccati equations:

\[
dp^l_t = -\left[ p^l_t A^l_t + A^l_t \psi^l_t + \gamma^l_t (C^l_t + \gamma^l_t) + C^l_t p^l_t C^l_t + M^l_t \right]
- \left[ p^l_t B^l_t + \gamma^l_t D^l_t + C^l_t p^l_t D^l_t \right] N^l_t + D^l_t p^l_t D^l_t
\]

(14)

V. CONCLUSION

This work deals with description the real phenomena with non-invariant nature and investigation of optimal control problems of such linear systems. The results can be used in various optimization problems of biology, physics, engineering, economics, and have a lot of life science, financial market applications [23-27]. The LQ problem considered in this manuscript can be viewed as development of the problems formulated in [17, 21, 28].
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