Effects of Quantization on Digital Buck Converter Switch Mode Power Supply

Mark Lorenze Torregoza¹ and Elmer R. Magsino²

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department, Gokongwei College of Engineering, De La Salle University-Manila, Taft Avenue, Philippines Email: mark.lorenze.torregoza@dlsu.edu.ph, elmer.magsino@dlsu.edu.ph

Jessica C. Cabiles-Magsino

Electrical and Electronics Engineering Institute, University of the Philippines–Diliman, Philippines jcmagsino@up.edu.ph

Abstract—The development of power supplies has evolved from using linear or series regulator to switch mode power supplies (SMPS). Nowadays, SMPS have employed the use of digital controllers such as microcontrollers and digital signal processors (DSP's) to provide compensation, housekeeping, fan control, communication, etc. The main advantages of using a digital controller are: insensitivity to environment and component tolerances, repeatability, predictability, flexibility and size reduction of power supply units (PSUs). These are very much appealing to the designer's viewpoint; however, these come with disadvantages such as limitation in bandwidth due to sampling rate, peripheral's speed and high prototyping cost. In this paper, we observe one of the existing problems revolving around the use of digital controllers in SMPS, i.e. quantization.

Index Terms—quantization effects, buck converter, digital signal processors

I. INTRODUCTION

The power supply industry is one of the fastest growing industries in the world. In particular, switch mode power supplies find its application in nearly all consumer market such as communications, computers, instrumentation and industrial control.

Power supplies employ different techniques in their control methodology. Voltage mode control and current mode control are the popular methods of controlling power supplies. Principle of operation, as well as the advantages and disadvantages of using either one of the control methods have been discussed in [1]. With the advantage of the current mode control over the voltage mode control with regard to the dynamic response, it is the more widely used practice in the industry.

Applications of digital signal controllers in power supplies have now become widespread. [2] They are used in VRM's, power factor correction circuits, inverters and dc-dc converters. The benefits of using digital signal controllers have been the subject of most research and industry studies. [3] [4] [5] Advantages of using digital control for power supplies include higher room for efficiency optimization as the operation could be fully maximized for the desired efficiency, programmability of the device, thereby reducing the parts count, adaptive power management, reduced sensitivity to temperature and process variations and advanced control techniques.

Although the control method is digital, the converter's power stage is mostly analog. Therefore there exist several issues when implementing the digital control for the power converters. [6] One of these problems is the effects of quantization.

Due to the fixed-point core of the DSC's used in power supply designs, limitations due to its nature of number representation is also a concern during the design and conceptualization stage. This study is undertaken to see the quantization effects in a switched-mode power supply particularly on these stages: (1) error voltage, (2) compensation networks and (3) pulse width modulator. It is also desired that the effects at each stage in combination with the other stages be studied and quantify the contribution of each to the overall system.

II. RELATED LITERATURE

Digital control loop defines a finite set of discrete "set points" resulting from the resolution of the quantizing elements in the system. The resolution of each block is given by:

$$V_{R} = \frac{V_{\max} - V_{\min}}{2^{n} - 1}$$
(1)

where V_R is the resolution (in volts/step), $V_{max/min}$ is the maximum/minimum input voltage of the block and n is the number of bits of the quantizer. The smaller this value is, the better. Quantization is applied on the following stages of the power supply, namely: (1) error voltage, (2) compensation network(s) and (3) pulse width modulator (PWM). [7]

In a digitally-controlled power supply, the first step is sampling the error voltage (reference voltage minus the sampled output voltage) and converting it to its digital

Manuscript received June 29, 2013; revised July 5, 2013

value. Ideally, the desired error voltage is zero but this would always have a small value and must be well-represented after quantization. The output voltage is sampled by a zero order hold circuit with a sampling frequency equal to twice the switching frequency of 100 kHz.

The block diagram of a digitally controlled SMPS is shown in Fig. 1. Once the error voltage is quantized, it is then passed to the compensation network. The compensation network compares the output voltage to a reference value and properly adjusts the pulse width to provide voltage regulation. In digital control, phase shifts are added due to the time delays in processing the data.



Figure 1. Block diagram of quantization scheme

The output of PWM, once quantized, becomes the Digital Pulse Width Modulator, DPWM. DPWM produces a discrete and finite set of duty ratio values, thus, from a steady-state output, only a set of discrete output voltages is possible.

It is in this sense that the resolution of the error voltage, compensation network(s) and DPWM be high enough to avoid a phenomenon known as limit-cycle oscillation and mimic the analog response. [8]

III. METHODOLOGY

This research work uses MATLAB/Simulink and some of its toolboxes to perform simulation of the performance of a digitally controlled buck converter. The desired system specifications for the simulation of a buck regulator are given in Table I.

TABLE I. BUCK CONVERTER SPECIFICATIONS

Input Voltage (Vin)	20VDC
Output Voltage (Vout)	12VDC
Max Current Output (Iout)	2.5A
Current Ripple (Iripple)	0.1*Iout A
Voltage Ripple (Vreg)	0.01*Vout V
Phase Margin	>45deg
Gain Margin	>6dB

Regulation will be done using current-mode control (CMC) as shown in Fig. 2. The current loop (Ti) is derived from the model of the switching regulator, modulator (PWM), the feedback transfer function and Ri, which is a current to voltage conversion gain. On the other hand, the voltage loop (Tv) comes from closing the current loop and adding the compensation network. This is the voltage-mode control (VMC) part.

Quantization is inserted on the following blocks: (1) after getting the error voltage between VREF and Vo, (2) inside the compensation Fc(s) and H(s) and (3) the modulator FM. Since digital control heavily relies on its counterpart, the analog control derivations are omitted. Type II compensators will be used to achieve the desired system specifications for the buck converter. It is to be noted that the zero-pole placement of each compensator is such that the zero and pole will cancel the effect of the poles and zero of the buck open loop transfer function and that the system achieves high gain at DC and lower frequencies. [10]



Figure 2. Current mode control implementation

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results and analysis of a buck converter simulation are given below.

A. Analog Control

The values of the inductor, capacitor and parasitic values for the buck regulator are computed to achieve the desired system specifications shown on Table I. The values are: L=49.08uH, $ESR=0.04\Omega$, C=153.4uF, $ESR=0.03\Omega$ and D=0.61.

These values are now inserted into the "Buck Converter" block, highlighted by a red circle in Fig. 3 while the static and dynamic responses of the closed loop system are shown in Fig. 4.

The VMC and CMC compensators are achieved using MATLAB's Control System Toolbox SISO Design Tool with stability margins: (for current-mode) GM = 6.3 dB at 14k rad/sec and PM = 48.1 deg at 11.5k rad/sec and (for voltage-mode) GM and PM are 6.02dB at 12.2k rad/sec and 58.3 degrees at 1.76k rad/sec respectively.

The analog compensator for both the VMC and the CMC is then converted into discrete-time with the use of Tustin's method shown in Table II.

TABLE II. ANALOG AND DIGITAL COMPENSATORS FOR THE BUCK CONVERTER

Analog Transfer Function	Digital Transfer Function
$\frac{66000(s+2090)}{s^2+1370s}$	$H(z)vmc = \frac{0.312z^2 + 0.0066z - 0.3054}{z^2 - 1.872z + 0.872}$
$F(s) \frac{1000(s+9000)}{s^2+80000s}$	$F(z)vmc = \frac{0.0037z^2 + 0.003z - 0.0034}{z^2 - 1.429z + 0.429}$

B. Digital Control

Under this section, the effects of quantization on each part will be shown and discussed.



Figure 3. Closed loop buck converter system





Figure 4. Buck converter closed loop output waveforms (a) Static response and (b) Dynamic response (half to full load)

The digital control power supply is modelled in Fig. 5. It shows the top-level closed loop digital model with the digitized blocks denoted by the red dotted box. The first highlighted block connected to the output voltage emulates the Analog-to-Digital converter (ADC), the voltage mode compensator and the current mode compensator. On the other hand, the second highlighted

block connected to the output of the CMC and to the input of the PWM converter emulates the Digital Pulse Width Modulator. It is from these two blocks from which the effects of quantization are observed.

Using a signed bit representation scheme, the number of bits that is used to mimic the bits in the digital signal controller is N. This is the main variable in the study and its corresponding effect is observed. The bits representation is limited to four, eight, twelve and sixteen bits. To ensure that the quantization effect is prominent in a particular block under observation, the remaining blocks are quantized using a 32-bit representation.



Figure 5. Buck converter digital closed loop Top-Level model

The ADC block is composed of the following subsystem, namely, the Zero Order Hold (ZOH), the quantizer block and the saturation block. The quantizer block is where the number of bits is changed.

The 4-bit representation of the quantizer yields an output that is in the range of 12.18V to 12.205V. Although it meets the output voltage of 12 V, it is outside the regulation of the specified converter which allows \pm 1% ripple.

Although, the number of bits used to represent the ADC gives out the desired output voltage, the problem that is seen in the 4-bit representation is in the resolution of the quantizer. The smaller the resolution of the DSP, the finer the step interval between the signal levels is present.

An algorithm to demonstrate the quantization in an IIR filter is developed. Equation 2 below was used to derive the quantized coefficients of the original coefficients [11]. The original coefficients are divided by two to ensure that there are no coefficients greater than one, and then multiplied by 2^n . The floor value is taken before dividing by 2^n .

$$Coeff(q) = \frac{floor\left(\frac{coefficien t}{2} \times 2^{n}\right)}{2^{n}}$$
(2)

With the sampled error voltage having variations particularly during loading and transient conditions, the reference voltage seen at the point of the current mode control must yield an almost constant value. A voltage mode compensator, H(z) is used to control the said variation. However, said compensator can be realized as an IIR filter that has a finite representation. The coefficients of the said compensator (filter) experiences quantization errors as well. The unquantized transfer function of the compensator for the voltage mode control is given by

$$H(z) = \frac{0.3120z^2 + 0.0063z - 0.3054}{1z^2 - 1.872z + 0.872}$$
(3)

Using a 4-bit signed representation, the corresponding transfer function becomes

$$H(z) = \frac{0.1250z^2 + 0.00z - 0.1250}{0.5z^2 - .875z + 0.375}$$
(4)

The output voltage became 8.66V as seen from Figure 11. Computing the percent error, it can be seen that the % error is:

$$\% error = \frac{V_{o} - nominal - V_{o} actual}{V_{o} nominal} * 100\%$$

% error = $\frac{12 - 8.66V}{12V} * 100\%$
% error = 27.8% (5)

An error of 27.8% is unacceptable in any power supply.

Investigating further, from Fig. 6, it can be seen from the plot of the duty cycle command that the duty is roughly around 0.45%. For a buck converter, the input to output relationship is given by: Vout = Duty*Vin = 0.45*20V = 8.5V.

It can be then said that the number of bits needed to represent the compensator is higher than that of 4-bits. Representing it at a lower bit will result in loss of information.



Figure 6. Duty cycle command

At a higher bit representation, say N = 10, the desired response was achieved.

The second control network is located at the current mode control block. It is here where the sampled inductor current is compared with the output of the voltage mode control and any variation between the two has to be compensated by the current mode controller, Fc(z).

As in the VMC compensator, the CMC compensator can be thought of as an IIR filter with a finite representation. The unquantized transfer function of the current mode compensator as converted through bilinear transformation is given by:

$$Fc(z) = \frac{0.0037z^2 + 0.0003z - 0.0034}{z^2 - 1.4920z + 0.4920}$$
(6)

With a finite representation of a 4-bit signed integer, the transfer function undergoes quantization with the resulting equation as:

$$Fc(z) = \frac{0}{0.5z^2 - 0.75z + 0.25} \tag{7}$$

Notice that there are no visible zeros in the resulting quantization. The same case happened even for increased bits of 6, 8 and 10.

For a 12-bit representation, the power supply almost approximates the response of the unquantized compensator. The output voltage for the 12-bit representation is shown below in Fig. 7.



Figure 7. Output voltage at CMC compensator, N=12

The inductor current undergoes the same process of sampling and conversion to its digital equivalent. During this conversion process, quantization also plays a significant role in the performance of the power supply.

The error voltage between the VMC reference voltage and the sampled inductor current is being controlled by the current mode compensator. For a 4-bit representation, the output voltage equals the specified value of 12.03 V. Oscillations is present at the output and these oscillations represent the limit cycle effect.

The digital pulse width modulator's function is to control the switching times of the switch network. Its output is the duty cycle at which the converter would operate. In its digital implementation, the number of bits used to represent the PWM poses a limit to the value of the duty cycle output.

In the case where the number of bits representation is equal to 4, oscillations at the output are visible. The voltage range of the output varies from 11.98V to 12.04V. (Fig. 8)

Inspecting closely the output of the duty cycle command block shows that the duty cycle command is swinging from the range of 0.5 to 0.63.

The oscillations are attributed to the wide swing of the duty cycle command. Due to the low resolution of the

quantizer, it is no longer sufficient to represent the needed duty cycle. With a variable duty, the output voltage is no longer stable, instead, it follows the variation of the duty thus oscillation at the output occurs. Comparing it with a higher bit resolution, the output voltage no longer exhibits the oscillations seen during at number of bits equal to 4.



Figure 8. Output voltage response with DPWM quantized to 4-bits

The foregoing quantization on the different blocks of the power supply model determines the number of bits necessary for the power supply to operate in a stable manner. With the minimum number of bits known for a stable operation and is given by Table III, the power supply is then simulated and the converter response is then observed.

 TABLE III. OUTPUT VOLTAGE RESPONSE WITH DPWM QUANTIZED

 TO 4-BITS

	Minimum Number of Bits
VMC Compensator	10
CMC Compensator	12
ADC	8
Inductor Current Quantizer	8
DPWM	12

Limit cycle oscillations are not visible in the output voltage when the allowable minimum number of bits per block is used in quantizing the whole converter and the desired specification is met. It can then be deduced that the quantization error from each block is linear.

V. CONLCUSION

For a digital controlled power supply, quantization plays a significant role in its performance and stability as shown by the simulation experiments. Proper representation of the sampled parameters ensures that the power supply will give out the desired response. Loss of information happens when the bit representation is not sufficient. In a power supply, this is usually manifested in a wrong regulation point.

Aside from this, one of the common problems contributed by quantization is the limit cycle oscillation.

Due to the limited step size dictated by the number of bits, the duty cycle command becomes constrained to produce a duty cycle based on the quantization step. If the duty cycle is not within the exact quantization step, the PWM then becomes inconsistent in its output. At the times when the output voltage drops to its regulation, the PWM has to compensate this drop in voltage by increasing its duty cycle command. Consequently, the voltage slowly rises until it reaches an over voltage threshold in which the duty cycle will be decreased to lower the output voltage. This cycle continues and thus limit cycle becomes present in the output.

From the study, it is seen that the DPWM needs the greatest number of bits representation. The DPWM, being the last block in the digital control requires the greatest bits representation to be able to neglect all the quantization errors that are propagated through the different blocks before it. Since quantization occurs first in the ADC block and moves until the CMC compensator block, all the noise errors are accumulated before entering the DPWM block.

It is also seen that the noise in a digital control power supply is linear. The power supply maintained its performance even by using the minimum number of bits needed per block for stable operation. No other erroneous converter performance is seen at this condition.

In as much as quantization errors have to be minimized, the bits representation in the DSP is also a consideration. As with any design, the optimum performance has to strike a balance with the limitations of devices. Quantization error is already inherent, thus, devising means of minimizing said error has to be considered always.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Mammano, "Switching power supply topology: Voltage mode Vs. current mode," *TI Application Note*, 1999.
- [2] R. Ridley, "The digital power supply revolution," *Power Systems Design Europe*, April 2007.
- [3] S. Bakota, "Taking advantage of digital power conversion," *Texas Instrument Application Notes*, August 2007.
- [4] B. J. Patella, A. Prudic, A. Zirgen, and D. Maksimov, "High frequency digital-controlled PWM converters," *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 438–446, January 2003.
- [5] D. Maksimov, R. Zane, and R. Erickson, "Impact of digital control in power electronics," *IEEE International Transactions* on Power Electronics, pp. 356 – 364, January 2003.
- [6] S. Pietkiewiez, "Digital power is mostly analog," *Power Electronics Technical Magazine*, January 2006.
- [7] G. Potter, "An introduction to digital control of switching power converters," *DCDC Technical Paper Astec Power*, April 2004.
- [8] R. Redl, B. P. Erisman, and Z. Zansky, "Optimizing the load transient response of the buck converter," *IEEE Applications Power Electronics Conference*, vol. 1, pp. 170 – 176, 1999.
- [9] A. Hartman, "Inside current-mode control," *Power Designer*, no. 106.
- [10] T. Hegarty, "Voltage-mode control and compensation: Intricacies for buck regulators," *Electronics Design Strategy, News*, June 2008.
- [11] (2009). IIR Filtering: Filter-coefficient quantization exercise in MATLAB. http://cnx.org/content/m10813/latest/



Elmer R. Magsino earned his Bachelor of Science in Electronics and Communications Engineering and Master of Science in Electrical Engineering at the University of the Philippines - Diliman, Philippines in 2002 and 2006 respectively. His research interests concern linear and non-linear control systems, signal processing and Mechatronics. He is currently an Assistant Professor at

the De La Salle University - Manila Philippines and is currently pursuing his PhD in Electronics and Communications Engineering. Prof Magsino is currently a graduate student member of the IEEE and member of the Science and Engineering Institute.



Jessica C. Cabiles-Magsino earned her Bachelor of Science in Electronics and Communications Engineering at St. Louis University - Baguio and Master of Science in Electrical Engineering at University of the Philippines -Diliman, Philippines in 2003 and 2012 respectively.

Her current research interests include power electronics exploring both analog and digital control applications. She is currently a Design Engineer under the Advanced Engineering department of Emerson Network Power at Quezon City Philippines focusing on digitally controlled power converters.



Mark Lorenze R. Torregoza finished his Bachelor of Science in Electronics and Communications Engineering at Polytechnic University of the Philippines - Sta. Mesa Manila in 2008 and earned Master of Science in the same discipline at De La Salle University - Manila in 2012. His research interest includes electronics

engineering, digital communications and artificial intelligence. He is currently a member of the Electronics Communications Engineering faculty of De La Salle University-Manila.